



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/263,820	03/08/1999	SATORU CHIKUMA	826.1539/JDH	2271

21171 7590 03/02/2004

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

ABELSON, RONALD B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2666

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/263,820

Applicant(s)

CHIKUMA ET AL.

Examiner

Ronald Abelson

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/14/03.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 & 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 11, 13 and 22 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 6, 12, 14-17, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26 and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 4, 7-10, 18, 20, 21 and 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 27 and 28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10, 11.
- 4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 12.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 11 recite the limitation "the other apparatus" in line 6. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the other apparatus" in line 6. Claim 16 recites the limitation "the other apparatus" in line 12. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the other apparatus" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 1, 14, 16, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rossmann (US 6,430,409).

Regarding claims 1, 14, 16, and 22, Rossmann teaches a method and apparatus for a transmission apparatus (fig. 12 box

Art Unit: 2666

500) for use in a radio communication system wherein the transmission apparatus communicates with a reception apparatus (fig. 12 box 700) through a radio channel (fig 12 box 710).

The system comprises a monitoring means for monitoring whether a transmission request for data, designating the transmission apparatus itself as a transmission destination (fig. 12 box 1201, col. 37 lines 26-28), has been issued by said transmission apparatus or the other apparatus connected thereto through a network (fig. 12 box 700). Note, the in the system of Rossmann, the reception apparatus and other apparatus are the same.

The system comprises a generation means for generating and initiating a process (spawns an ANT request processor, col. 37 lines 28-31) in the transmission apparatus to serve as a logical reception destination for the data and generating a buffer (buffer associated with fig. 12 box 1204, note data is stored in box 1210) in correspondence with the process, when said monitoring means has detected the issue of the transmission request. Note, a process is a "routine" or "thread" (spec: pg 12 lines 6-7).

The system comprises a transfer means for transferring the data from a transmission request source to said process in accordance with communications of an interval virtual circuit

Art Unit: 2666

type (virtual connection, TCP, col. 26 lines 31-36). Note, virtual connections are used in TCP.

The system comprises a transmission means for transmitting the data stored in said buffer, to said other apparatus through the radio channel (col. 39 lines 38-39).

5. Regarding claims 12, 15, 23, and 29, Rossmann teaches a reception apparatus (fig. 12 box 500) for use in a radio communication system wherein the reception apparatus communicates with a transmission apparatus (fig. 12 box 700) through a radio channel (fig. 12 box 710 see connections).

The system comprises a reception means for receiving data sent in through the radio channel (fig. 12 box 1202).

The system comprises a monitoring means for monitoring whether or not said reception means has received data which conforms to a protocol associated with layers of said radio channel (fig. 12 box 1201, col. 37 lines 26-28).

The system comprises a generation means for generating and initiating a process in the reception apparatus to serve as a logical reception destination for the data, when said monitoring means has detected the reception of the pertinent data (fig. 12 box 1204, col. 37 lines 28-35).

Art Unit: 2666

The system comprises a transfer means for transferring the data received by the process (fig. 12 box 1204), to a transmission request destination (fig. 12 box 1210) in accordance with communications of an interval virtual circuit type (virtual connection, TCP, col. 26 lines 31-36). Note, as shown in the passage above, virtual connections are used in TCP.

Regarding claim 29, in addition to the limitations previously listed, transmitting the data along with a port number and an IP address (col. 37 lines 65-66) of a target service (fig. 12 Network Servers) through the radio channel. Regarding a port number, this is inherent in the system. If this were not the case, the system would not know which output port to send the data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2666

7. Claim 2 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossmann as applied to claims 1 and 16 above, and further in view of Bakre (IEEE Transactions on Computers, Vol. 46, 3 March 1997).

Rossmann fails to teach employing a protocol of an upper layer with respect to layers of the radio channel.

Bakre teaches employing a protocol of an upper layer with respect to layers of the radio channel (I-TCP, section 4.1).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both Rossmann and Bakre before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by Rossmann, a wireless communication network, and [b] as shown by Bakre, employing a protocol of an upper layer with respect to layers of the radio channel, to be motivated to modify the system of Rossmann by communicating over the radio channel (fig. 12 box 710) using I-TCP as opposed to TCP. Adhering to the I-TCP protocol standards can perform this. This would improve the system since I-TCP is better suited for the wireless link than conventional TCP (Bakre: section 4.1).

8. Claim 5, 6, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rossmann as applied to claims 1 and 16 above, and further in view of Waters.

Art Unit: 2666

Rossmann is silent on restarting the transmission after disconnection.

Waters teaches specifying a sequence number at the point of time of the disconnection and restarting the transmission at the sequence number specified, when said radio channel has been reconnected (restart, reinitialization, col. 22 lines 15-17).

Note, the examiner maintains that a sequence number must be reported so the system knows where to restart.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both Rossmann and Waters before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by Rossmann, a wireless communication network, and [b] as shown by Waters, specifying a sequence number at the point of time of the disconnection and restarting the transmission at the sequence number specified, when said radio channel has been reconnected, to be motivated to modify the system of Rossmann by recording the packet sequence number at the time of disconnection. This modification can be performed in software. This would improve the system of Rossmann by allowing the system to retransmit from the point of disconnection as opposed to having the system retransmit from the beginning.

Art Unit: 2666

9. Claims 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakre (IEEE Transactions on Computers, Vol. 46, 3 March 1997) in view of Dasgupta (US 5,699,500).

Regarding claims 25-26, Bakre teaches a method and apparatus for transmitting data request by a transmission source (fig. 4 MH) employing a protocol of an upper layer with respect to layers of the radio channel (I-TCP, section 4.1), transmitting the data transmitted (fig. 4 MH) by employing the protocol of an upper layer, through said radio channel by employing a protocol of the layers of said radio channel (I-TCP, section 4.1), and transmitting the data transmitted by employing said protocol of said layers of said radio channel, to a transmission request destination (fig. 4 FH) by employing said protocol of said upper layer with respect to said layers of said radio channel (I-TCP, section 4.1).

Although Bakre teaches I-TCP daemon processes supporting I-TCP connections (pg. 264 section 5 last sentence) the reference is silent on transmitting in accordance with a virtual circuit type.

Dasgupta teaches virtual circuit connections to a daemon process (col. 6 lines 53-56).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both Bakre and Dasgupta before him/her

Art Unit: 2666

and with the teachings [a] as shown by Bakre, transmitting data request by a transmission source employing a protocol of an upper layer with respect to layers of the radio channel, and [b] as shown by Dasgupta, virtual circuit connections to a daemon process, to be motivated to modify the system of Bakre by maintaining virtual circuit connections to the daemon processes of Bakre. Following the standards for virtual circuit connections to daemon processes can perform this modification. This would improve the system since virtual circuit need not be maintained if they are not in use.

Regarding claim 26, in addition to the limitations previously listed, the examiner corresponds the first communication means with the transmitter in the MH of Bakre (fig. 4), the first communication means to be XTP (Bakre pg. 137 column 1 section 2.2 last paragraph) and the second radio communication means to be XTP. Note the applicant does not state that the second radio communication means is different from the first. In addition, the examiner equates the second communication of the applicant to the receiver of the FH of Bakre (fig. 4) and the transmission request destination of the applicant with a MH that is in communication with the first MH (fig. 4).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 11, 13, and 22 are allowed.
11. Claims 3, 4, 7-10, 18, 20, 21, and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Regarding claims 11 and 22, Rossmann teaches a method and apparatus for a transmission apparatus (fig. 12 box 500) for use in a radio communication system wherein the transmission apparatus communicates with a reception apparatus (fig. 12 box 700) through a radio channel (fig 12 box 710).

The system comprises a monitoring means for monitoring whether a transmission request for data, designating the transmission apparatus itself as a transmission destination (fig. 12 box 1201, col. 37 lines 26-28), has been issued by said transmission apparatus or the other apparatus connected thereto through a network (fig. 12 box 700). Note, the in the system of Rossmann, the reception apparatus and other apparatus are the same.

Art Unit: 2666

The system comprises a generation means for generating and initiating a process (spawns an ANT request processor, col. 37 lines 28-31) in the transmission apparatus to serve as a logical reception destination for the data and generating a buffer (buffer associated with fig. 12 box 1204, note data is stored in box 1210) in correspondence with the process, when said monitoring means has detected the issue of the transmission request. Note, a process is a "routine" or "thread" (spec: pg 12 lines 6-7).

The system comprises a transfer means for transferring the data from a transmission request source to said process in accordance with communications of an interval virtual circuit type (virtual connection, TCP, col. 26 lines 31-36). Note, virtual connections are used in TCP.

The system comprises a transmission means for transmitting the data stored in said buffer, to said other apparatus through the radio channel (col. 39 lines 38-39).

Although Rossmann teaches a cache memory (fig. 12 box 716), nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests a search means for searching as to whether or not data requested by said transmission request source is registered in said cache memory, in combination with all the other limitations listed in the claim.

Regarding claims 13 and 24, Rossmann teaches a reception apparatus (fig. 12 box 500) for use in a radio communication system wherein the reception apparatus communicates with a transmission apparatus (fig. 12 box 700) through a radio channel (fig. 12 box 710 see connections).

The system comprises a reception means for receiving data sent in through the radio channel (fig. 12 box 1202).

The system comprises a monitoring means for monitoring whether or not said reception means has received data which conforms to a protocol associated with layers of said radio channel (fig. 12 box 1201, col. 37 lines 26-28).

The system comprises a generation means for generating and initiating a process in the reception apparatus to serve as a logical reception destination for the data, when said monitoring means has detected the reception of the pertinent data (fig. 12 box 1204, col. 37 lines 28-35).

The system comprises a transfer means for transferring the data received by the process (fig. 12 box 1204), to a transmission request destination (fig. 12 box 1210) in accordance with communications of an interval virtual circuit type (virtual connection, TCP, col. 26 lines 31-36). Note, as shown in the passage above, virtual connections are used in TCP.

Art Unit: 2666

Although Rossmann teaches a cache memory (fig. 12 box 716), nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests a search means for searching as to whether or not data requested by said transmission request source is registered in said cache memory, in combination with all the other limitations listed in the claim.

Regarding claims 3, 4, and 18 although Rossmann teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 16 wherein virtual circuits are taught, nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests the communication speed of the virtual circuit is controlled (spec: pg. 26 lines 15-21, note the buffer is a virtual buffer and the connection to the buffer is a virtual connection).

Regarding claims 9, 10, 20, and 21, in addition to the limitations previously mentioned, Rossmann teaches a plurality of buffers (fig. 2 box 1211-1213), however nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests prioritization of these buffers.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2666

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11-16, 22, 23, 26, and 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner agrees with the applicant that Kobayashi does not teach generating or spawning (applicant: pg. 12 5th paragraph). Therefore, a new search was performed.

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RA

Ronald Abelson
Examiner
Art Unit 2666

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO 2/23/04
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800